

Consultative Meeting Notification 83/2017

**Buyer-Seller Consultation on Footwear Items dated
23.11.2017**

Presented by :

Date :





Consultative Meeting Notification 83/2017

Buyer-Seller consultation on Footwear items dated 23.11.2017

Consultative Meeting for Footwear items held on 23-11-2017 with sellers and buyers. Following Participants participated.

Sellers:-

1. M. B. Rubber
2. Liberty Shoes
3. Derpa Industrial Polymers
4. Bansal Industries
5. D. B. Footwears
6. XO Footwears
7. Easy Footwears
8. Sidhi Vinayak Shoes
9. Midas Safety
10. Mahajan Boot Factory
11. Sanjay Footwears
12. Pinza Footwears
13. Nine K Nanotech
14. Swastik Boot Factory

Buyers:-

1. ITBP
2. CRPF
3. BSF
4. SSB

During the meeting following points were suggested by participants:-

- For P. T. Shoes, some minor modification in respect of Mass of Shoes was suggested. They were advised to furnish amendment from FDDI to this effect for further needful.
- Regarding Anti Riot Shoes, it was intimated by participants that MHA specification is not appropriate and BIS specification is under finalisation. The participants were assured that as and when the BIS specification is available, the TP for Anti Riot Shoes will be modified accordingly, in consultation with MHA.
- Regarding Safety Shoes- Leather with PVC Sole, it was intimated by sellers as well as buyer that this item is generally not being procured, as such it may be dropped from the GeM Portal. Action will be taken accordingly.
- Specification for Boots as per Defence Specification no. IND/ TC/ 3847 may also be incorporated. The suggestion will be examined on receipt of specification from the buyers/seller.
- In addition to existing TP for Boot Ankle Textile- Jungle Boot (Direct Moulded PU Sole), TP for Jungle Boot with Direct Injection Process (DIP) PU Sole may also be created.

Golden Parameters:-



- a. Size
- b. Fittings
- c. BIS Marking
- d. Varieties
- e. Type
- f. Material

During the meeting following points also emerged:-

1. It was pointed out by CAPFs reps. that there is no provision of stipulation of Sample Clause (Advance Sample and Representative Sample). It was suggested to them that Sample Clause may be incorporated in the Bid by the buyer. On this it was intimated by the buyers that as of now there is no provision for the buyer to add any Terms and Condition in the Bid. This aspect may be looked into.
2. There was a suggestion from buyers and sellers that 10 days period for clearance of CRAC is inadequate for Textile items because for clearance and acceptance of Textile items, they have to carry out board proceedings, send samples for testing, if required, which takes quite some time for testing by the test labs. Buyers were suggested to send the samples within a week's time from the receipt of the material. However it is felt that the time of 10 days is very less for the proper assessment of the quality and time period may be enhanced to at least 30 days. A view may be taken on this and this may be incorporated suitably in Terms and Conditions of the GeM in respect of Textile items.
3. Concern was expressed by the Buyers/ OEMs that traders are quoting very low/ unrealistic rates for the items on GeM portal as compared to OEMs rate to somehow grab the orders. In the process traders are spoiling/failing the rates and thereby timely procurement of the material. This aspect needs to be looked into and a mechanism may be devised on the GeM portal to curb this practice. Suggestion has been given during the meeting that the only OEM authorised sellers could upload their products. The manufacturing licence of OEM for that item must be attached to ensure specified product quality to the buyer. A minimum price of each product should be fixed so that supplier can not quote the price below the bench mark.
4. Provision of Digi Locker may be made on GeM portal for mandatory loading/accessing of various documents i.e. Test Reports, NSIC Registration certificate, MSME Certificate, SIA Acknowledgement, BIS licence etc for the benefit.
5. It was understood during discussions that Vendor Assessment Agency is visiting only OEM manufacturing premises and in case of other sellers there is no such visit. It was suggested by the buyers/ sellers that in case of vendor assessment of sellers other than OEM, the necessary documents verification of their OEM along with OEM factory visit may be carried out by the assessing authority so that only genuine sellers are able to participate in the GeM procurement.

Regards



Gem-Admin